

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



Template pre-contractual disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 9, paragraphs 1 to 4a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 5, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: CARMIGNAC PORTFOLIO GRANDE EUROPE Legal entity identifier: 549300PB34J11FU0KE75

Sustainable investment objective

Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective: 10% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy 	<input type="checkbox"/> It promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of ___% of sustainable investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy <input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective: 30%	<input type="checkbox"/> It promotes E/S characteristics, but will not make any sustainable investments

What is the sustainable investment objective of this financial product?

The Sub-Fund’s sustainable objective is to invest at least 80% of the Sub-Fund’s net assets in shares of companies that are considered aligned with relevant United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (“the SDGs”). The minimum levels of sustainable investments with environmental and social objectives are respectively 10% and 30% of the Sub-Fund’s net assets.

Alignment is defined for each investment / (investee) company by meeting at least one of the following three thresholds:

- a) **Products and services:** the company derives at least 50% of its revenue from goods and services that are related to one of the following nine SDGs: (1) No Poverty, (2) No Hunger, (3) Good Health and Well Being, (4) Quality Education, (6) Clean Water, (7) Affordable and Clean Energy, (9) Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure, (11) Sustainable Cities and Communities, (12) Responsible Consumption and Production; or
- b) **Capital expenditure:** the company invests at least 30% of its capital expenditure in business activities that are related to one of the following nine SDGs (1) No Poverty, (2) No Hunger, (3) Good Health and Well Being, (4) Quality Education, (6) Clean Water, (7) Affordable and Clean Energy, (9) Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure, (11) Sustainable Cities and Communities, (12) Responsible Consumption and Production; or
- c) **Operations:**
 - i. the company achieves an “aligned” status for operational alignment for at least three (3) out of all seventeen (17) of the SDGs, based on the evidence provided by

- the investee company of available policies, practices and targets addressing such SDGs. An “aligned” status represents an operational alignment score of ≥ 2 (on a scale of -10 to +10) as determined by the external scoring provider; and
- ii. the company does not achieve a “misaligned” status for operational alignment for any SDG. A company is considered “misaligned” when its score is ≤ -2 (on a scale of -10 to +10), as determined by the external scoring provider.

These thresholds represent a significant intentionality of the investee company in regards to the contributing activity to the SDGs. For further information on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, please refer to <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>.

Further information on the methodologies:

Firstly, in order to determine which investee companies are aligned to the SDGs for Products and Services and Capital expenditure, we have identified a robust business classification system and mapped 1700 different business activities. In addition, we have used the SDG Compass, a resource created by GRI, the UN Global Compact and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development to identify business activities which contributed to each SDG. Furthermore, we created Carmignac 'investable themes' based upon the business activities. Based on these themes, we have filtered through each business activity in the classification system, aligning the appropriate business activities with Carmignac's 'investable themes' and using the SDG targets to verify suitability. This was reviewed by members of the Sustainable Investment (SI) and Investment team.

Secondly, in order to determine which investee companies are aligned to the SDGs for Operations, we use an external scoring methodology to create an indicative operational alignment screen. Each investee company is assessed on each of the 17 SDGs and their performance is rated from -10 to +10 for each SDG. To calculate this score, for each SDG, there are (1) Positive indicators linked to evidence of policies, initiatives and targets with specific KPIs which result in positive additions to the scores, (2) Negative indicators, linked to controversies or adverse impacts which results in negative subtractions to the score and (3) Performance indicators which assess trajectory of performance which can be additive or negative for the score. The above three assessments are aggregated into a final score for each SDG between the aforementioned -10 to + 10 range. This means that each company has 17 scores, one for each SDG, between -10 and +10.

The entire range scale for operational alignment is divided into five result categories as follows:

- >5.0 : Strongly Aligned
- Score between 2.0 and 5.0, inclusive: Aligned
- Score less than 2.0 but higher than (-2.0): Neutral
- Score equal to or less than (-2.0) but higher than (-10): Misaligned
- Score equal to (-10): Strongly Misaligned

Once the threshold for alignment for the Products and Services, Capital expenditure or Operations is met, the full weight of the holding is considered aligned.

In addition, the Sub-Fund contributes through its investments to the following environmental objectives: climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation. The Sub-Fund does not have as its objective a carbon footprint reduction but it excludes Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO₂/mEUR revenue and aims to achieve carbon emissions 50% lower than its reference indicator (STOXX 600 Europe), measured monthly by carbon intensity (tCO₂/ mUSD revenue converted to Euros; aggregated at portfolio level (Scope 1 and 2 of GHG Protocol).

The Sub-Fund has not designated a reference benchmark for the purposes of showing the attainment of the sustainable investment objective. The objective is an absolute target to invest 80% of net assets into companies on a continuous basis that are aligned to one of the aforementioned relevant SDGs according to the predefined revenue, capital expenditure or operational alignment thresholds.

The attainment of the sustainable objective is ensured on a continuous basis through monitoring and controls and will be published monthly on the Sub-Fund's webpage.

● **What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective of this financial product?**

This Sub-Fund uses the following sustainability indicators to measure the attainment of the sustainable objective:

1) The coverage rate of ESG analysis: ESG integration through ESG scoring using Carmignac’s proprietary ESG platform “START” (System for Tracking and Analysis of a Responsible Trajectory), which includes internal and external ESG ratings, is applied to at least 90% of issuers.

START is a systemised platform aggregating multiple sources of raw ESG data for our proprietary scoring systems for companies and also our Sovereign ESG model, Controversy analysis and SDG alignment. START rates companies from “E” to “A”, that grid below details the correspondence between the START numeric scores and START ratings:

Lower limit		START rating		Higher limit
8	≤	A	≤	10
6	≤	B	<	8
4	≤	C	<	6
2	≤	D	<	4
0	≤	E	<	2

Sustainability indicators measure how the sustainable objectives of this financial product are attained.

2) The amount the equity universe is reduced by : The investment universe for universe reduction purposes is composed of 1,200 listed European stocks with over 1 billion euro market capitalisation. This universe is reduced by a minimum of 25% through the application of the filters described below.

i) Firm-wide: Negative screening and exclusions of unsustainable activities and practices are identified using an international norms and rules-based approach on the following: (a) controversies against the OECD business guidelines, the International Labour Organization (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and UN Global compact principles, (b) controversial weapons, (c) thermal coal mining, (d) power generation companies, (e) tobacco, (f) adult entertainment.

ii) Sub-Fund specific: Extended activity or stricter exclusion criteria cover oil and gas, weapons, gambling, alcohol, power generation and thermal coal mining. Companies having an overall START rating of “D” or “E” (rating from “E” to “A”) are excluded of the Sub-Fund’s investment universe. Companies having an overall MSCI rating of “CCC” (rating from “C” to “AAA”) are excluded of the Sub-Fund’s investment universe. Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO2/mEUR revenue are excluded. The universe is further reduced by the number of companies deemed not aligned according to our SDG alignment assessment, as described above.

Prior to reducing the investment universe as described above, the equities and corporate bond universes are re-weighted in order to eliminate any bias that could lead to significant differences between the composition of the indices making up these universes and that of the Fund’s portfolio. Each issuer is re-weighted according to the fund’s historical weightings by sector, region (emerging markets/developed markets), and market capitalization (small/mid/large)) allowing for a +/-5% margin for each separate characteristic.. The weights used are calculated annually, however the constituents of the universe and the ESG data used to reduce the universe are refreshed quarterly. The re-weighting is done using the fund’s historical weightings over the last 5 years, considering sector, geography, and capitalization rotations.

3) Minimum of Sustainable investments: The Sub-Fund makes sustainable investments whereby a minimum of 80% of the Sub-Fund's net assets, which align positively with relevant United Nations SDGs. The minimum levels of sustainable investments with environmental and social objectives are respectively 10% and 30% of the Sub-Fund's net assets.

4) Active stewardship: ESG-related company engagements contributing to better awareness or improvement in companies sustainability policies are measured by following indicators: (a) level of active engagement and voting policies, (b) number of engagements, (c) rate of voting and (d) participation at shareholder and bondholder meetings.

5) Low-carbon intensity target: The Sub-fund will exclude Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO₂/mEUR and aims in the equity and corporate bond part of the portfolio, as applicable, to achieve a carbon emissions profile that is 50% lower than its reference indicator (STOXX 600 Europe), measured monthly by carbon intensity (tCO₂/mEUR revenue); aggregated at portfolio level (Scope 1 and 2 of GHG Protocol).

6) Principal adverse impacts: Furthermore, this Sub-Fund is committed to applying the SFDR level II 2019/2088 Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) annex 1 related to Principal Adverse Impacts whereby 14 mandatory and 2 optional environmental and social indicators (selected by the Sustainable Investment team for pertinence and coverage) will be monitored to show the impact of such sustainable investments against these indicators: Greenhouse gas emissions, Carbon footprint, GHG intensity (investee companies), Exposure to companies in fossil fuel sector, Non-renewable energy consumption and production, Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector, Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas, Emissions to water, Hazardous waste ratio, Water usage and recycling (optional choice), Violations of UN Global Compact principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity, Exposure to controversial weapons, Excessive CEO pay ratio (optional choice).

● ***How do sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

We use the following mechanisms to ensure our sustainable investments do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective:

1) Universe reduction process:

i) Firm-wide: Negative screening and exclusions of unsustainable activities and practices are identified using an international norms and rules-based approach on the following: (a) controversies against the OECD business guidelines, the International Labour Organization (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and UN Global compact principles, (b) controversial weapons, (c) thermal coal mining, (d) power generation companies, (e) tobacco, (f) adult entertainment.

ii) Sub-Fund specific: Extended activity or stricter exclusion criteria cover oil and gas, weapons, gambling, alcohol, power generation and thermal coal mining. In addition, Companies having an overall START rating of "D" or "E" (rating from "E" to "A") are excluded of the Sub-Fund's investment universe. Companies having an overall MSCI rating of "CCC" (rating from "C" to "AAA") are excluded of the Sub-Fund's investment universe. Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO₂/mEUR revenue are excluded. The universe is further reduced by the number of companies deemed not aligned according to our SDG alignment assessment, as described above.

2) Active stewardship: ESG-related company engagements contributing to better awareness or improvement in companies' sustainability policies are measured by following indicators: (a) level of active engagement and voting policies, (b) number of engagements, (c) rate of voting and (d) participation at shareholder and bondholder meetings.

How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?

The Principal Adverse Indicators are monitored on a quarterly basis. Outlier adverse impacts are identified for degree of severity. After discussion with the investment team an action plan is established including a timeline for execution. Company dialogue is usually the preferred course of action to influence the company’s mitigation of adverse impacts, in which case the company engagement is included in the quarterly engagement plan according to the Carmignac Shareholder Engagement policy. Disinvestment may be considered with a predetermined exit strategy within the confines of this aforementioned policy.

- *How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?*

The EU Taxonomy sets out a “do not significant harm” principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific EU criteria.

The “do no significant harm” principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

Carmignac applies a controversy screening process on OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights for all its investments across all Sub-Funds.

Carmignac acts in accordance with the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) principles, the International Labour Organization (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guidelines for multinational enterprises to assess companies’ norms, including but not limited to human rights abuses, labour laws and standard climate related practices.

This Sub-Fund applies a controversy screening process for all its investments. This process aims to exclude from the investment universe companies that have committed significant controversies against the environment, human rights and international labour laws. The screening process bases its controversy identification on the OECD Business Guidelines and UN Global compact principles and is commonly called Norms-based screening, integrating a strict flagging system monitored and measured through Carmignac’s proprietary ESG system START. A company controversy scoring and research is applied using data extracted from ISS-ESG as the research data base.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.



Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

 Yes

Carmignac has committed to apply the SFDR level II 2019/2088 Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) annex 1 whereby 14 mandatory and 2 optional environmental and social indicators (chosen by the Sustainable Investment team for pertinence and coverage) will be monitored to show the impact of such sustainable investments against these indicators: Greenhouse gas emissions, Carbon footprint, GHG intensity (investee companies), Exposure to companies in fossil fuel sector, Non-renewable energy consumption and production, Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector, Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas, Emissions to water, Hazardous waste ratio, Water usage and recycling (optional choice), Violations of UN Global Compact principles or OECD

Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Unadjusted gender pay gap, Board gender diversity, Exposure to controversial weapons, Excessive CEO pay ratio (optional choice).

To mitigate the adverse impacts if detected, further assessment is performed to identify an engagement strategy or potential divestment from the company as is outlined under the Carmignac Engagement policy and Principal Adverse Impact policy.

Please find in our PAI Policy the Table 1 (Annex 1, SFDR Level II), the statement on principal adverse impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors. The performance of these indicators will be disclosed in annual reports.

No



What investment strategy does this financial product follow?

The Sub-Fund invests at least 75% of its assets in equities in the European Economic Area. The Sub-Fund may also be invested up to 25% of its assets in equities in the OECD countries outside of the European Economic Area.

The Sub-Fund adopts a sustainable investment approach using best in universe and best efforts selection process and both positive and negative screening to identify companies with long term sustainable growth criteria. The Sub-Fund makes sustainable investments whereby a minimum of 80% of the Sub-Fund's net assets, are invested in shares of companies that are considered aligned with relevant United Nations Sustainable Development Goals ("the SDGs"). The minimum levels of sustainable investments with environmental and social objectives are respectively 10% and 30% of the Sub-Fund's net assets.

Alignment is defined for each investment / (investee) company by meeting at least one of the following three thresholds:

- a) **Products and services:** the company derives at least 50% of its revenue from goods and services that are related to one of the following nine SDGs: (1) No Poverty, (2) No Hunger, (3) Good Health and Well Being, (4) Quality Education, (6) Clean Water, (7) Affordable and Clean Energy, (9) Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure, (11) Sustainable Cities and Communities, (12) Responsible Consumption and Production; or
- b) **Capital expenditure:** the company invests at least 30% of its capital expenditure in business activities that are related to one of the following nine SDGs (1) No Poverty, (2) No Hunger, (3) Good Health and Well Being, (4) Quality Education, (6) Clean Water, (7) Affordable and Clean Energy, (9) Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure, (11) Sustainable Cities and Communities, (12) Responsible Consumption and Production; or
- c) **Operations:**
 - i. the company achieves an "aligned" status for operational alignment for at least three (3) out of all seventeen (17) of the SDGs, based on the evidence provided by the investee company of available policies, practices and targets addressing such SDGs. An "aligned" status represents an operational alignment score of ≥ 2 (on a scale of -10 to +10) as determined by the external scoring provider; and
 - ii. the company does not achieve a "misaligned" status for operational alignment for any SDG. A company is considered "misaligned" when its score is ≤ -2 (on a scale of -10 to +10), as determined by the external scoring provider.

These thresholds represent a significant intentionality of the investee company in regards to the contributing activity to the SDGs. For further information on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, please refer to <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>.

In terms of ESG integration, the investment universe is assessed for ESG risks and opportunities recorded in Carmignac proprietary ESG platform "START" (System for Tracking and Analysis of a Responsible Trajectory). This applies to equity issuers. The Environmental, Social and Governance

The investment strategy guides investment decisions based on factors such as investment objectives and risk tolerance.

analysis (“Integrated ESG Analysis”) is incorporated in the investment process performed by the investment team using proprietary research and external research.

The extra-financial analysis is implemented in the investment strategy by undertaking activities described below whereby the Sub-Fund’s investment universe is actively reduced by at least 25%. The full process of the reduction of the investment universe is found in the corresponding Transparency Code on the Carmignac website.

Universe reduction process:

i) Firm-wide: Negative screening and exclusions of unsustainable activities and practices are identified using an international norms and rules-based approach on the following: (a) controversies against the OECD business guidelines, the International Labour Organization (ILO) Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and UN Global compact principles, (b) controversial weapons, (c) thermal coal mining, (d) power generation companies, (e) tobacco, (f) adult entertainment.

ii) Sub-Fund specific: Companies having an overall START rating of “D” or “E” (rating from “E” to “A”) are excluded of the Sub-Fund’s investment universe. Companies having an overall MSCI rating of “CCC” (rating from “C” to “AAA”) are excluded of the Sub-Fund’s investment universe. Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO2/mEUR revenue are excluded. The universe is further reduced by the number of companies deemed not aligned according to our SDG alignment assessment, as described above.

Active stewardship: ESG-related company engagements contributing to better awareness or improvement in companies’ sustainability policies are measured by following indicators: (a) level of active engagement and voting policies, (b) number of engagements, (c) rate of voting and (d) participation at shareholder and bondholder meetings.

The Sub-Fund contributes through its investments to the following environmental objectives: climate change mitigation and climate change adaptation. The Sub-Fund does not have as its objective a carbon footprint reduction objective but will exclude Companies with a Co2 intensity greater than 500 tCO2/mEUR and aims to achieve carbon emissions 50% lower than its reference indicator (STOXX 600 Europe), measured monthly by carbon intensity (tCO2/ mUSD revenue converted to Euros; aggregated at portfolio level (Scope 1 and 2 of GHG Protocol).

● **What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain the sustainable investment objective?**

The binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain the sustainable investment objective are:

- 80% of the Sub-Fund’s net assets are invested in sustainable investments aligned positively with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (as outlined above);
- The minimum levels of sustainable investments with environmental and social objectives are respectively 10% and 30% of the Sub-Fund’s net assets;
- Equity Investment universe is actively reduced by at least 25%;
- ESG analysis applied to at least 90% of issuers;
- carbon emission 50% lower than the reference indicator as measured by carbon intensity.

● **What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?**

In order to assess good governance practices, the Sub-Fund applies Carmignac proprietary ESG research system START, which gathers key governance indicators automated for over 7000 companies, including 1) the percentage of Audit Committee Independence, Average Board Tenure, Board Gender Diversity, Board Size, Compensation Committee Independence as it relates to sound management structures, 2) Executive Compensation, Executive Sustainability Incentive, Highest Remuneration Package as it relates to remuneration of staff. Employee relations are covered within Carmignac S indicators (namely through employee satisfaction, gender pay gap, turnover of employees) within START.

Good governance practices include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance.

As for taxation, the Sub-Fund recognize companies in its investment universe which adhere to the OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises on taxation and push for disclosure where necessary.


In addition, as signatory of the PRI, we Carmignac would expect from the companies it invest in to:

- o Publish a global tax policy that outlines the company’s approach to responsible tax;
- o Report on tax governance and risk management processes; and
- o Report on a country-by-country basis (CBCR)

This is a consideration Carmignac increasingly integrates into our engagements with corporates and in our votes in support for more transparency via for example support for shareholder resolutions.



What is the asset allocation and the minimum share of sustainable investments?



#1 Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives. **#2 Not sustainable** includes investments which do not qualify as sustainable investments.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

A minimum proportion of 80% of this Sub-Fund’s net assets is used to meet the sustainable objective of the Sub-Fund in accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy.

The minimum levels of sustainable investments with environmental and social objectives are respectively 10% and 30% of the Sub-Fund’s net assets.

The “#2 Not sustainable investments” include cash and derivative instruments, which may be used for hedging, if applicable. These instruments are not used to achieve the sustainable objective of the Sub-Fund.

● **How does the use of derivatives attain the sustainable investment objective?**

The Sub-Fund does not use derivatives to attain the sustainable investment objective.



To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

● **Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy³?**


³ Fossil gas and / or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change (“climate change mitigation”) and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objectives - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Yes :

In fossil gas In nuclear energy

No

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



**For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures*

● **What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?**

As the Sub-Fund does not have a minimum Taxonomy alignment there is no current minimum share of transitional and enabling activities.

Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are

activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.



What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The minimum level of sustainable investments with environmental objectives that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy is 10% of the Sub-Fund's net assets.



What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with a social objective?

The minimum level of sustainable investments with social objectives is 30% of the Sub-Fund's net assets.



What investments are included under “#2 Not sustainable”, what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

In addition to sustainable investments, the Sub-Fund may invest in cash for liquidity management purposes. The Sub-Fund may also invest in derivatives instruments for hedging purposes. Environmental, social and governance considerations into synthetic exposure have been integrated

through the derivatives framework detailed below. The approach will depend on the type of derivatives instrument used by the Sub-Fund: single name derivative or index derivatives.

Single name derivatives

The Sub-Fund may enter into derivatives with a short exposure to a single underlying security (“single name”) only for hedging purposes, i.e. covering the long exposure on that same issuer. Net short positions, i.e. situations where the short exposure on the underlying issuer is greater than the long exposure of the Sub-Fund on that same issuer, are prohibited. The use of short derivatives for purposes other than hedging is prohibited.

Index derivatives

Index derivatives purchased for hedging purposes are not analysed for ESG purposes.

The reference indicator of the Sub-Fund remains out of scope of this index derivatives framework, and is not considered for ESG purposes.

The investments included under “#2 Not sustainable” abide by our firm-wide negative screening framework for minimum safeguards.



Is a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to meet the sustainable investment objective?

N/A.

- *How does the reference benchmark take into account sustainability factors in a way that is continuously aligned with the sustainable investment objective?*

N/A.

- *How is the alignment of the investment strategy with the methodology of the index ensured on a continuous basis?*

N/A.

- *How does the designated index differ from a relevant broad market index?*

N/A.

- *Where can the methodology used for the calculation of the designated index be found?*

N/A.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the sustainable investment objective.



Where can I find more product specific information online?

More product-specific information can be found on the website:

https://www.carmignac.lu/en_GB/funds/carmignac-portfolio-grande-europe/a-eur-acc/fund-overview-and-characteristics